

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
                                )  
Plaintiff,                 ) Case No. 1:06-cr-00210  
                                )  
v.                            ) Honorable Gordon J. Quist  
                                )  
SIRMEDRA LASHA,            )  
                                )  
Defendant.                 )  
                                )

---

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.C.R.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on December 19, 2006, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Sirmedra Lasha entered a plea of guilty to Count 23 of the Superseding Indictment, charging defendant with conspiracy to commit money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. The plea agreement also includes defendant's agreement to waive all rights and interests she may have in the property listed in Counts 24 and 25 (Forfeiture Allegation). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count 23 of the Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge. The clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the District Judge.

Date: December 20, 2006

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody  
ELLEN S. CARMODY  
United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES**

You have the right to de novo review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. Mich. L.C.R.R. 11.1(d).